

### **Alleged complicity in failure to mitigate climate change impacts at the Pluto LNG and Scarborough Energy projects in Australia (service provider)**

We strongly recommend removing this item from the report. In June 2022, the Australian Conservation Foundation Incorporated (ACF) (represented by the Environmental Defenders Office Ltd) commenced Federal Court of Australia proceedings in relation to the environmental assessment of the Scarborough project. The ACF was seeking a final injunction to restrain Woodside from carrying out offshore project activities for the Scarborough project. The action was dismissed by consent on 20 August 2024. In relation to Saipem, for Woodside, the works for the Scarborough project were completed, including the laying of a 400 kilometres large-diameter pipeline. This means Saipem concluded the project in 2024.

### **Alleged failure to respect the right to health in Italy**

In relation to the possible causal link between malformations and pollution of industrial origin at the Gela site, four civil litigations are still pending, in the context of which a number of sentences have already been passed, both at first and second instance, which have in fact declared this link to be non-existent. In particular: in a first litigation concerning four cases, the Civil Court of Gela rejected the plaintiffs' claims and ordered the plaintiffs to pay costs jointly and severally. The judgement has not yet become final; in a second dispute, concerning 1 case, both levels of judgement concluded with the rejection of the plaintiffs' claims. A third dispute, concerning 1 case, the Civil Court of Gela dismissed the plaintiff's claims and awarded the costs of the litigation. The judgement has not yet become final; in a fourth dispute, concerning 12 cases, the first instance judgement ended with the rejection of the plaintiff's claims. The opposing party has filed an appeal that is still pending and awaiting a decision. On 24 March 2025, the Caltanissetta Court of Appeal pronounced a ruling in the case arising from the appeal against the first instance ruling in favour of the Eni Group companies, confirming the rejection of the claims for damages and confirming the lack of a causal link between the pathologies complained of by the plaintiffs and the pollution of industrial origin. In May 2025, the Court of Cassation (third and final degree of judgement), ruling on an appeal brought against one of the sentences of the Court of Appeal of Caltanissetta, rejected the appeal, confirming the lack of causal link.

### **Alleged failure to prevent anti-competitive behaviour in Italy**

As of 17 September 2025, the proceedings are still pending and the Competition Authority has not yet formalized any final decision against Eni. The company has been cooperating with the Authority, providing all information needed to answer its requests as well as to demonstrate the full legitimacy of its conduct.

### **Alleged failure to mitigate climate change impacts in the United States**

On August 27th, 2024, following acceptance of the settlement proposal made by the plaintiffs, Eni S.p.A. and Eni Oil & Gas Inc. ("EOG") were definitively dismissed from the proceedings. The settlement, which does not contain any acknowledgement of liability on the part of Eni and EOG, provided for the renounce of any other future claim by the plaintiffs against Eni and EOG. We request to remove this case from the report.

### **Alleged failure to prevent water pollution in Russia**

We strongly recommend removing this item from the report. In relation to the issue at hand, in 2022 CPC paid the fine imposed (equivalent to approximately \$51M USD based on today's exchange rate), following the completion of the court process – initiated by the Russian environmental authority - which upheld the penalty. Eni holds only a 2% interest in CPC and the case has been closed since 2022 and is no longer being pursued by the State.

### **Alleged failure to prevent bribery in Russia**

We strongly recommend removing this item from the report. Eni and its managers have never been investigated in this proceeding, definitively dismissed in 2023. This news is not related to Eni.

### **Alleged failure to respect the right to safe and healthy working conditions in Italy**

Following the accident, Eni has defined a monitoring program, in close cooperation with the judicial custodian and the relevant authorities, to ensure the safety of the seized site. Furthermore, the Company has collected all substantiated requests for compensation in relation to material and non-material damage and is proceeding with the settlement regardless of any aspect of the merits of the matter and/or liability.

### **Alleged failure to prevent pollution in Italy**

The proceeding had been initiated in 2016 against five Eni employees. During the trial, the defence conducted independent technical investigations using international experts, who ascertained that the plant complied with the Best Available Technologies and International Best Practices and with the environmental permits issued by the Public Authorities. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Eni decided to modify certain aspects of the plant in order to prevent the disputed situation from recurring in the future. The solution proposed by Eni was approved by the Public Prosecutor's Office, which allowed Eni to restart production and reinjection into the reservoir in the Costa Molina-2 well in August 2016. On March 10, 2021, Italian Court of First Instance: (i) in relation to the charge of ideological falsification in a public deed ("falso ideologico in atto pubblico"), acquitted all the defendants (ii) in relation to the alleged illegal waste trafficking ("traffico illecito di rifiuti"), acquitted two former employees of the Southern District for not having committed the crime, convicted six former officials of the Southern District and sentenced Eni to a fine of €700,000 pursuant to Legislative Decree 231/01. Please note that the judgment is not enforceable until the court decision becomes final. Eni has appealed seeking annulment of the decision and the proceeding before the Court of Appeal is currently underway. With respect to the facts reported in the March 2024 media coverage, there is no evidence or official information regarding any initiative by the Public Prosecutor's Office nor any confirmed situation of contamination currently affecting the Pertusillo reservoir. Moreover, the reported circumstance does not pertain in any way to the criminal proceeding described above.

### **Mozambique: alleged failure to assess environmental impact at Rovuma LNG and Coral South FLNG projects in Mozambique (operator).**

Please remove this item as it was not in last NBR report analysed in July 2025.

### **Undergoing remediation: Alleged failure to assess environmental impact in Nigeria - Failure to assess environmental impacts**

Please remove this item as it was not in last NBR report analysed in July 2025.