ENI 1Q 2025 Results Thursday 24 April 2025, 14:00 CEST

Presentation Speaker

Francesco Gattei, CT&FO

Our February Capital Markets Update emphasised the speed and scale at which we had been progressing our strategy. Q1 maintained that pace and recent events have confirmed why our clarity of strategic view and speed of action is so critical.

We are creating value; leveraging our competitive strength in the Upstream; strengthening and diversifying the company; fixing underperforming activities; materially strengthening our balance sheet - all while offering a competitive and resilient return to investors.

Reviewing the important strategic highlights of the quarter and year to date:

- **Growth is an important feature in our Plan.** In the Upstream, in March, Johan Castberg began production and will add 66kbd of oil production at plateau for Var, as it targets over 400kboed by Q4. Castberg is the first of 5 major start-ups due this year with Balder X in Norway, Agogo and NGC in Angola plus Congo LNG Phase 2 to follow, setting us up for a strong 2026.
- In the Transition businesses, Plenitude has completed the construction of its 200MW battery in Texas and acquired 245MW in Plenitude share of photovoltaic and storage in California; while Enilive began production of SAF at its new 400,000 tonne/year facility at Gela in Sicily.
- We are also realising significant value through the investment of aligned capital into of our Transition Businesses and the valorization of our industry-leading exploration activities, via the Dual Model.
 - We closed the agreed increase in EIP's stake in Plenitude to 10% with an additional cash in of €209 MIn at the end of March.
 - We also closed the increase in KKR's stake in Enilive to 30% with an additional cash in of €601 Mln in April. This followed the 2.97 Bln we collected at the beginning of March.

- Furthermore, we have received non-binding offers for additional stakes in Plenitude that could take aligned investment to 25%-30%. We consider around a 70% majority Eni stake in both our major Transition businesses as broadly the right level for the time being.
- o In the Upstream, also in March, we announced a major dual exploration valorization with the agreement to sell stakes in Baleine in Cote D'Ivoire and Congo LNG in the Republic of Congo to Vitol for a cash in of around \$2.7Bln, expect to complete later this year.
- Our satellite model is an important feature of our business and in the Upstream in February we announced an MOU with Petronas to combine assets, with a mixed component of growth and value, in Indonesia and Malaysia. This is a really significant development for Eni, creating a new and highly material regional satellite in an important part of the world with a strong partner and with the added opportunity of some cash valorization alongside. We expect to move to a definitive agreement around the middle of this year, with the completion before the end of 2025.
- Fixing underperforming activities Structural responses in some of our legacy activities are required as the energy evolves. The transformation of Versalis over the next 4-5 years is a major positive source of self-help, amounting to +€1 Bln/year EBIT improvement by 2030. We have positive news to report here as well with agreement reached with the institutions and the unions on the details of our Plan. We closed Brindisi, the first of the remaining two steam crackers at the end of March, and Priolo will be shut-down before the end of this year.

Our strategy is designed to create a stronger, more profitable and more resilient company. Our Q1 results demonstrate this:

- Net income of €1.4 Bln is up around 60% q/q in a very similar scenario setting.
- Upstream production was in line with our expectation as 2024 divestments impacts work through, seasonal factors play out and we await the positive impact of the start-ups that will contribute to our full year expectation of around 1.7Mboed average.
- At the segment level:
 - E&P proforma EBIT of €3.3 Bln almost offsetting lower crude and production y/y helped by lower expenses and efficiency gains and the benefit of portfolio high-grading
 - GGP results reflect the normal seasonal strength and were essentially in line with last year
 - Enilive and Plenitude reported proforma results consistent with our full year expectations once respective seasonality is taken into account. Enilive was impacted by the deterioration in biofuel margins year on year and also lower biorefinery utilization albeit biorefining EBITDA remain positive. This was part offset by positive evolution of our marketing operations. Plenitude recorded a 3% EBITDA improvement year over year supported by strong retail results and rising renewable generation.

- In our Transformation activities both Refining and Chemicals were loss making. Refining results reflect the weaker margin y/y and lower throughputs after the closure of Livorno plus the extended turnaround at Sannazzaro in the quarter. Our continued losses in Chemicals reflect the challenging scenario in Europe that we consider to be a structural feature and confirm our actions to restructure and transform this business.
- Cashflows before working capital of €3.4 Bln in the quarter were consistent with our FY guidance of €13 Bln at \$75/bbl. The cash tax rate was around 30%, in line with normal levels, and dividends received were in line with associates net income.
- capex in the quarter was €1.9 Bln, a little below the run-rate to < €9 Bln in the February guidance. Valorization and divestment proceeds net of acquisitions in the quarter totalled €3 Bln and included cash in for our Transition satellites.
- We re-purchased €386 Mln of shares in Q1 completing our €2 Bln 2024 programme. We expect to begin the 2025 €1.5 Bln programme after shareholder approval in May.
- Balance sheet leverage was 18%, 4 percentage points lower than Q4 despite a weaker USD adding 1 percentage point, while our pro-forma leverage, incorporating agreed transactions still to close, stood at 12% an improvement of 3 percentage points from end-2024.

Volatility and cyclicality is a recurring feature of the industry – every 2-3 years we are impacted by an external event – so its really normal course of business. Our company needs be prepared, as it should be to leverage the cyclical upswings. Given the current scenario it is worth reviewing our balance sheet in a little more detail.

In the past 5-quarters we have announced over €9 Bln in tail asset divestments, dual exploration valorization, and aligned external investment into our Transition oriented businesses. We have executed faster and for greater value than we and certainly the market expected, moving quickly to lower our leverage.

An additional element of protection is provided by our satellites. The model not only enables us to raise capital and self-finance our growth while highlighting the valuation multiples related to transition businesses or specific upstream geographies — such as Norway — but it also strengthens our resilience during downturn phases.

On one hand, we are able to contain our leverage through targeted business valorization. On the other hand, the availability of autonomous entities, capable of containing price downturns with their own balance sheets allows us to secure more stable cash flows via dividends, and hence we are less affected by market volatility.

Our consolidated balance sheet is just about the strongest in our history. At the end of the quarter we had over €28 Bln financial assets and undrawn committed lines and we have lengthened maturities by more than 2 years over the past 2 years. We estimate our net cost of net debt in 2025 will be below

1.5%. This position will enable us to continue to balance pursuing our strategy, remaining resilient and flexible, and deliver our returns to shareholders.

When we discussed our Plan and 4 Year strategy we emphasised the value in the consistency of our approach.

We also confirmed our dividend at 1.05 euro and a share buyback — totalling €1.5 billion — itself a minimum floor, that we are committed to maintaining even under adverse market scenarios.

And we need to be nimble and responsive to the changed conditions. The work we have done on the balance sheet helps us significantly, but there are also further measures we will now begin to take to reinforce our financial position without compromising our medium/long-term objectives or our investment proposition. We have identified over €2 Bln of initial actions to enhance our FCF positions and lowering our cash neutrality by around 15\$/bbl, including:

- additional portfolio upside
- selective capex rescheduling over the coming months,
- active working capital management aimed at enhancing cash recovery,
- structural cost optimization initiatives.

Together these actions further enhance our financial position and de-risk shareholder distribution.

In summary, the additional financial strength we have introduced into Eni over the past year, the intrinsic resilience of the model we have built and the additional options and levers we have available mean we can maintain underlying strategy and also confirm the full distribution policy we have announced.

With the current scenario headwinds, we are focussed on delivering our underlying performance and leveraging our portfolio optionality to offset cashflow impacts and deliver our distribution commitments.

We therefore can confirm our full year production outlook of 1.7Mboed. We also confirm our profitability guidance for GGP, Enilive and Plenitude. At our lowered scenario assumptions we expect to generate €11 Bln of CFFO, a little better, underlying, than our sensitivities imply. We expect to offset this impact with the cash mitigation measures I described including net capex to below €6 Bln − therefore we can also confirm leverage between 0.15-0.2 in 2025, within the 0.1-0.2 Plan range.

We are very satisfied with our progress in 2025 year to date -- both on the strategic and financial side. The macro scenario has deteriorated and is volatile and uncertain but the actions we are taking and flexibility we have mean we are in a position to resist its full impact, we can therefore advance our strategy and deliver on our shareholder commitments.

With that I conclude my remarks and welcome any questions you may have.

Q&A Session

Corporate Respondents

Francesco Gattei, CT&FO

Guido Brusco, DG Global Natural Resources

Giuseppe Ricci, COO Industrial Transformation

Adriano Alfani, CEO Versalis

Stefano Ballista, CEO Enilive

Cristian Signoretto, Director Global Gas & LNG Portfolio

Q&A

JON RIGBY: Thank you, Francesco. We will now open to questions. Francesco is joined by Eni top management and we will attempt to get around to answer all the questions you may have. As a courtesy to everybody who participates, can we keep it to two questions and then hopefully we can get through everybody in the time allocated. We are going to start with the first question. It comes from Alejandro Vigil at Santander. Alejandro.

ALEJANDRO VIGIL, SANTANDER: Thank you, Jon and thank you Francesco for taking my questions and congratulations for the beat in this first quarter. The two questions I have: one about the guidance of production, the 1.7 million barrels per day. Your thoughts about the discussions, about Kazakhstan OPEC+ quotas and if you think there is some risks coming from this situation or not? And the second question is about Enilive and the outlook for margins. Thank you for this spreadsheet that you have added to the release. I think it is very useful. But I am very interested in the outlook for the margin evolution in the coming quarters in Enilive. Thank you.

FRANCESCO GATTEI: I leave the floor to Guido Brusco for the first answer and Stefano Ballista for the one related to Enilive.

GUIDO BRUSCO: As far as concerned the production outlook, as you know, we have – as also said by Francesco, we have five significant startups, two in Angola, two in Norway and one in Congo. So our production will grow over time to hit the guidance we gave. For Kazakhstan, so far, neither the operator

of the asset nor the shareholder and the contracting company have been engaged by the authority for any production cuts.

STEFANO BALLISTA: Thank you for the question. And talking about biofuel view, first of all this is a year of oversupply. This is something well known. Rough number is about 2 million oversupply, demand versus supply. In the first quarter we saw expected growth demand, and we will deep dive a little bit, not coming yet. And the reason is that actually players have the whole year to satisfy some obligations. And second reason, actually there are some uncertainties in U.S. that we expect to be clearly defined moving forward. On demand, it's worth highlighting that the sustainable aviation fuel 2% target for EU, pretty much in this first half didn't come through. It is expected for the second half, and the reasons are pretty much twofold. The chance to satisfy the demand in the whole year, and second, logistic facilities to be in place. About U.S., it's relevant to highlight that low carbon fuel standard target of an increased GHG reduction to 30%, at the beginning of the year, expected on April 1st, has been delayed given to a technical request from the Office of Administrative Law of California. Until some weeks ago it was expected at the beginning of September, now beginning of July, given CARB already gave a review of these technical aspects. So overall, we see a path of margin increasing, thanks to this rebalancing pathway we are viewing. I have to say clearly, it's very relevant, that we focus on value, not on volume. This is a clear strategic approach in an oversupplied market. This is what we are doing. We are definitely focused on value, even reducing volumes in some cases. The more the system will move in that direction, the better is going to be the improvement trajectory we are seeing and foreseeing.

ALEJANDRO VIGIL, SANTANDER: Thank you.

JON RIGBY: Thanks, Alejandro. The next question is from Biraj Borkhataria at RBC. Biraj?

BIRAJ BORKHATARIA, RBC: Hi, thanks for taking my questions. Francesco, you touched on obviously the strength of the balance sheet and the progress you've made there, which is obviously now looking in good shape. I was wondering, we've obviously seen the environment deteriorating a little bit more. You've touched on some of the smaller changes you've made to your kind of capital programme. But I guess the question is, what will you need to see price-wise or signal-wise to adjust your inner activities more materially there? And then just a follow-up for Stefano on sustainable aviation, in late March there was a joint statement from your customers, the airlines arguing about concerns on availability and then the cost of SAF and suggesting these mandates were not realistic or achievable. So I just wanted your thoughts on whether it's – is it reasonable or realistic to assume that these mandates could be relaxed this year, or do you expect policymakers to hold firm there? Thank you.

FRANCESCO GATTEI: Yes. About the capex and the reaction to the price signals. Clearly, we think that at the end of the day, once there is a deterioration of the scenario, you have all the opportunity substantially to use different levers that you, let's say, prioritize in terms of effectiveness and also in terms of impacts in future trends of the company and the target that the company has given. For this reason, you have seen that we have announced that this 2 billion improvement in cash of which around a half is related to capex and cost improvement. So shifting certain investment, postponing or extending

the execution of certain activity, efficiency, there is also a natural trend related to a lower market scenario, that will imply a lower cost in executing the activity. And the remaining part is split half and half between a portfolio improvement and the working capital effectiveness or a new action in terms of valorization of working capital or stocks, and managing this activity. This gives us more flexibility for a worsened scenario, in case it will be necessary, I would say that there is no special or strict rule on what is the price that will imply a change in our capex profile. Clearly, if there is a further deterioration of the scenario, we will continue to apply even more levers, including the one that you were referring - capex - but also other activities that we can expedite and will contribute to cash even in a lower scenario. So I don't have a specific answer. What I can say is that there is flexibility in our plan even to adjust to lower prices. Then I leave to Stefano.

STEFANO BALLISTA: Yes. Thank you, Francesco. And thanks for the question actually. Short answer is no. We don't think there is any chance to, let's say, not having in place this target. This is a mandatory target of 2% within the end of the year. And actually if you don't fulfill it there are going to be carryovers of the target next year and a penalty as well. Let me add just a couple of comments on the topic as a whole. Actually, SAF capacity is in place in Europe, and not only in Europe actually, we have also some capacity in the U.S. and in China as well. In Europe, just to make an example, we got Gela with up to 400,000 ton per year and we just started up production at the beginning of this year. So the current mandate of 2% that equals about 1-1.2 million ton has capacity to be definitely fulfilled. So this is the first comment. Second comment, the target is on fuel suppliers actually not on airlines. And even the matter of logistics is not there because for a transition period you can fulfill the mandate in a single airport, you don't need to be physically blending biojet in each airport. This gives a lot of let's say flexibility in having it done. And then let me say as last comment, probably in terms of the target, I would have a little bit different perspective. Now we have 2% in place up to 2030 and then 6%. So it's three times just from one year to another. In order to have, let's say, a proper development of the investments, actually it would be a proper approach to get a sort of step-up along the way. In that way, you can, let's say, have a balanced approach between supply and demand. So there is space to work together with airlines to couple with the energy transition and the GHG reduction targets in an effective and efficient way.

BIRAJ BORKHATARIA, RBC: Okay. Thank you very much.

JON RIGBY: Thanks, Biraj. The next questions come from Josh Stone at UBS. Josh, are you on the line?

JOSH STONE, UBS: Hi Jon and good afternoon. I have two questions, please. One, I wanted to come back and talk about asset sales. Maybe just talk about how confident you are in closing your sale to Vitol and maybe just characterize the market for selling assets. You talked about some non-binding offers for Plenitude. Are there still live discussions happening there and how are you thinking about that, is it better to sell now or maybe even wait for higher values, maybe if you could just talk about Plenitude and what you're thinking on that? And then the second question on Namibia. There was some news last week that you hit hydrocarbons at your latest well. I think that's about two out of two. So maybe

you can just talk about the next steps and what you can share so far, what you've learned about from your campaign there? Thank you.

FRANCESCO GATTEI: Thank you. The first very fast. Clearly, on asset sales, we are extremely confident, first of all, because we have already cashed in an additional 600 million in early April - the 11th of April - that is the amount that is related to the top-up of the 5% on the Enilive-KKR deal. And then we are working on this Plenitude potential transaction 15-20%. The non-binding offers are there. There is, I would say, a strong competition pressure. I don't see any, let's say, loss of valuation under the current market also because this is clearly a deal that has a long-term perspective and also eventually will be helped by a reduction or expectation of lower interest rate in the future. On the deal on Africa, West Africa with Vitol, we have all the documents already signed, and we are just waiting for the various approvals that are requiring this activity. There is no MAC provision that will impede to proceed. So I would say that almost 90-95% of our plan is already in our hand or with very positive perception of its execution. In terms of Namibia, I leave now to Guido to provide all the updates.

GUIDO BRUSCO: Your question was quite timely as we've just released, a few minutes ago, a press release where we confirm that discovery in Namibia, the well successfully penetrated the target, and the reservoir is showing good petrophysical properties, no water contact. We made an intensive acquisition campaign, wireline logging, hydrocarbon samples, sidewall cores, and in addition to that, we made also a well test, and we achieved a flow rate in excess of 11,000 barrels of oil per day, which was surface-constrained. The oil is a light oil with limited associated gas and very low inert gas. So very positive, very interesting. More assessment and more analysis, of course, will have to be made. So the well will be temporarily plugged and abandoned and the rig will be released, and then we assess - the full site will be assessed with the Operator and the other partners, for the full size of the discovery.

JON RIGBY: Thanks Guido. Thanks Josh. Good timing on that question. So we're now going to move on to Giacomo Romeo at Jefferies. Giacomo, are you there?

GIACOMO ROMEO, JEFFERIES: Yes, thank you. Thank you as well for the incremental disclosures in this quarter's report. They are very much welcome. Two questions for me. First one on the buyback. Today, you reconfirm the €1.5 billion buyback at the lower macro scenario. These effectively stretches your CFFO payout at the upper end of your new range, the new policy. What happens if the macro deteriorates further, are you comfortable going above that 40% payout, Francesco? And second question is on the agreement, the MOU, you signed with YPF on LNG in Argentina. Can you talk a bit on the attractiveness of Argentina as potential LNG exporter, and will you consider integrating this Upstream and looking for assets there or are you happy just -with a share in the project?

FRANCESCO GATTEI: Yes. Thank you. I will reply to the first question and then I leave back to Guido for the Argentina questions. About the buyback, clearly, you know that once we set our distribution policy and the starting level for the buyback, we set that in a way that will be a floor. And therefore, we are going to execute this, clearly, we give the reference in terms of percentage of cash flow distribution, but we have all the levers to keep this distribution policy and buyback affordable in our balance sheet

because there are a lot of other tools that are not just a percentage of cash flow from operations, but also the capabilities we are seeing in this maneuver to balance this distribution also with free cash flow improvement in terms of portfolio, or in terms of working capital. And clearly, the leverage level is another factor that has to be considered once you have to manage the fluctuation of the price. So I think there is no issue at all in confirming this €1.5 billion even in a lower scenario. Clearly, eventually, you could accelerate or slow down a bit the pace of buyback, but this is part of the normal activity that we are able to execute within the almost one year of the buyback plan. I leave it now to Guido for the YPF deal.

GUIDO BRUSCO: Yes. The Vaca Muerta Basin, as you know, is the second-largest shale gas field in the world and the Argentina LNG project is an integrated project, from the Upstream up to the Midstream and the export of the LNG. This has the objective to hit more than 30 million tons per annum by the early 2030. It has different phases, three phases, which will be run in parallel. Eni and YPF have signed an MoU to execute one of these three phases, which would reach a total of 12 million tons per annum. We are joining YPF, who has a very strong and deep country knowledge of the unconventional Upstream of Vaca Muerta. They have been operating these assets for decades. So they know pretty well the subsurface and all development and operation activities. While on our side, as Eni, we are recognized as a fast-track and low-cost project operator. And we would bring our experience and leadership, particularly on floating LNG projects. We are looking at this Argentina LNG project and the full value chain to complement our portfolio of LNG and also to reach our strategic target of 20 million tons per annum. And we think that the combination of the expertise of YPF on the Upstream of Vaca Muerta and the expertise of Eni on the Midstream floating LNG will set this venture for success.

JON RIGBY: Thanks Guido. Thanks Giacomo. We're going to move now to Matt Smith of Bank of America. Matt, are you there?

MATTHEW SMITH, BANK OF AMERICA: Hi guys, good afternoon. Thanks for taking my questions. First, I wanted to come back to the capex cuts that you sort of laid out today, and you've sort of listed optimization and postponements of projects as one of the sources of the reduction in capex. I just wanted to understand which projects you are specifically referring to and guess my broader question was, if the current commodity price were to extend into next year, would any of these projects not be postponed, but actually be canceled? So that would be the first question. And then the second one, I wanted to come back to Namibia, if I could, noting the information that you put out on the latest well today. I mean, given that you have had additional time in the lab with the first discovery, I just wondered if you could compare or contrast, is it the correct read that the second discovery has better characteristics than the first or what additional color could you give us there, please? Thank you.

FRANCESCO GATTEI: On the capex optimization, there is no major project that we can point out specifically. It is a broader activity, some exploration activities, some production optimization activities, activities related to EV-charging, activity related eventually to the rebranding of our stations - service

stations. So it is a spread-around activity with marginal impact on a specific project. So you shouldn't expect any delay on the key and most important projects. About Namibia if Guido wanted to answer.

GUIDO BRUSCO: About Namibia it is too premature to make any conclusions. As I said, I mean, when I spoke about it just a few minutes ago, we need to assess the full size of the discovery. It's really premature. We just finished the well testing. We are still in a phase where we have to do some work. And I would also recommend to refer to the operator to get more insights and information on the discovery itself.

JON RIGBY: Thank you. Thanks Matt. We're going to move now to Alessandro Pozzi. Alessandro, are you there? Okay. We're going to move on now. Alessandro, if you want to come back on, you can. We can move now to Michele Della Vigna at Goldman Sachs. Michele?

MICHELE DELLA VIGNA, GOLDMAN SACHS: Thank you Jon and congratulations on the strong results. Two questions, if I may. First, I wanted to understand with the new Cyprus gas development, when you expected production to come through and if you thought that could effectively revive exports from Damietta and effectively make Egypt – once again – an exporting country in LNG. And then secondly, on the Indonesia-Malaysia combination, I see you continue to progress the negotiations there. I was wondering if you expect any kind of cash contribution for that deal or if it's likely to be a pure effectively combination of assets? Thank you.

FRANCESCO GATTEI: On the Indonesia-Malaysia, clearly, I can tell you what is the experience that you have in this kind of combination once you set up the new entity, the new company, you clearly design not only the business plan with the investment and a different or a relative ratio between the parties, but also the financial plan that is a result of the addition of this kind of assets and therefore, the capability for the new entity to leverage these assets for more financial capability. And therefore, there is generally, a contribution that is related to the possibility to have a certain dividend upfront that will help to generate cash, more cash than in a stand-alone situation. And then on Cyprus if Guido...

GUIDO BRUSCO: On Cyprus we are working for an FID within the year with the partner and the two host governments. It will be a subsea tie-back to the Egyptian facilities. So we expect an execution time between two and two and a half year from the FID. If we are able to make an FID by the end of this year, production may come sometime between Q4 2027 and Q1 2028. Of course, to have Egypt, again, as a net exporter, a few more things have to happen. There is a growing confidence on the ability of Egypt to kick out more investments. They are continuing to pay their outstanding receivables. So there is more activity in general, not just from Eni but also from other operators. And there is also, again, a restart of the journey for increasing the capacity of renewables, which will free up more gas for exports. So the combination of these two things together with the new development and the Cyprus gas may set Egypt again in a couple of years as a net exporter. But this is not just linked to the Cyprus gas.

JON RIGBY: Thanks Michele. We found Alessandro. So Alessandro, if you're on, you can ask your questions.

ALESSANDRO POZZI, MEDIOBANCA: Thank you for taking the questions. I have two. The first one is on the cost-saving initiatives of over €2 billion, part of which is capex. I think there are other large initiatives regarding working capital and other costs. I was wondering if you can give us maybe more color on those as well. And with regards to Argentina, I was wondering what is the level of investments that you're planning to make over the next few years and whether potentially you're looking to invest in Upstream, I guess so, and the type of maybe production levels that you expect from the country when Phase 1 will be online? Thank you.

FRANCESCO GATTEI: About the cash initiatives I mentioned before, more than 1 billion is related to the capex and cost improvement. Capex, as we said, is mainly related to postponing certain activities that could be extended without major impacts on big projects, but just rather related to certain activity that could be, let's say, executed over a longer time. In terms of cost, there will be a natural reduction in terms of costs also related to the lower scenario. And we do expect that there is also a benefit from the portfolio activity of the remaining €1 billion that we said there is almost half, so around €500 million is related to the portfolio improvement in terms of less cash out and better value from potential cash in. And on the other side, the remaining part is related to the cash initiatives that are the management of working capital. This is the maximum we can describe to you. If you want to describe Argentina Guido?

GUIDO BRUSCO: Yes, I mean Argentina is an integrated project. So basically, we will be all along the value chain from the Upstream to the Midstream balanced, ideally, so having the same equity in both sides. The project, of course, is in a very early stage, but Phase 3, the phase that we are assessing with YPF of 12 million tons per annum will have costs in the region of \$20 billion, of course, including all costs from Upstream to the transportation to the Midstream and the liquefaction.

ALESSANDRO POZZI, MEDIOBANCA: And is that included in your full year capex guidance or is it on top.

FRANCESCO GATTEI: We are speaking about an MOU that is still to be clearly designed and defined with a lot of activity that has to be fine-tuned later on. So, it's not yet included.

ALESSANDRO POZZI, MEDIOBANCA: Alright, thank you.

JON RIGBY: Thanks Alessandro. We're now going to move to Peter Low at Redburn. Peter?

PETER LOW, REDBURN ATLANTIC: Hi, thanks. The first question was just on the tax rate in the quarter, a bit lower than we expected. Can you perhaps just outline what was driving that and then maybe what we should expect for the rest of the year, will it kind of return to that 50% to 55% range? And then the second question was just on production in the quarter, particularly gas. There's quite a large sequential step down. I think you called out disposals at the release, so those were oil weighted. So, I just wanted to check, was there anything like maintenance or turnaround kind of impacting that gas number in the quarter? Thanks.

FRANCESCO GATTEI: Yes. About the tax rate, clearly, in this quarter it was positively impacted by the structure of the results. It is a quarter where you had the benefit of contribution from GGP from

Plenitude, from the Power. And this is lowering for generally to say, higher price, both of oil and gas. So from the geographies even of Upstream that have a lower tax rate. So, this quarter is a quarter of a tax rate that you could generally expect once there is this mix of contributors. The trend for the year - in a scenario that we lowered in terms of mainly of the oil prices - will see this tax rate increasing towards the upper end of the expectation of 55%. It could be a rough estimate where you could see the results coming. So clearly, the quarter will contribute, but we do expect an increase in the coming months because the price of oil that we are designing in our planning is lower and the contribution of the lower-tax rate businesses will be less impactful. And then I leave to Guido for the gas.

GUIDO BRUSCO: For the gas and for production in general, if we compare sequentially, Q4 with Q1, the decrease is essentially driven by lower entitlement in some countries where we have gas production, some PSA effect in Libya, Indonesia and Algeria, and some M&A impact in the U.S.

PETER LOW, REDBURN ATLANTIC: Thank you.

JON RIGBY: Thank you, Peter. I'm now going to move to Irene Himona at Bernstein, Irene?

IRENE HIMONA, BERNSTEIN: Yes, thank you. Good afternoon. First, a quick question on cash flow from your announced recent disposals. How much would you expect to close and book in the second quarter, please? And then secondly, on E&P. Your underlying per barrel EBIT margin has improved sequentially quite a lot, given it was a similar oil price environment. You have indicated that around half of your planned disposals in the four year plan is from Upstream. So my question is, can we anticipate that as you continue high-grading the portfolio, that unit margin improvement can continue further and of course, it is structural, so presumably also your oil price sensitivity may change over time? Thank you.

FRANCESCO GATTEI: On the disposal side, for this quarter, second quarter, we have already cashed in €600 million related to the KKR deal. We are potentially expecting the closing of the West African deal, but that could slip also to the third quarter, taking into account the different authorities that have to be involved and therefore, it is something that we could expect to happen during the summer, let's say. And we do expect clearly that we are able to proceed to the next step for the Plenitude deal. So, this is not clearly a cash-in date for in this quarter, but potentially a conclusion of the tender activity that we are executing.

GUIDO BRUSCO: Yes. The improvement of the EBIT per barrel and cash flow per barrel, as we anticipated also in our capital markets update is structural as we are high-grading our portfolio, developing high-value barrels and disposing of lower-value barrels. So, it's a structural phenomenon, which over time should continue, of course.

JON RIGBY: Thanks, Irene, for your questions. We're going to move to Henry Tarr at Berenberg. Henry are you there? Okay. Henry, if you can reconnect and we can come around to your question, but we'll move now to Paul Redman at BNP, Paul?

PAUL REDMAN, BNP PARIBAS: Hi Jon and thanks very much for your time. Two quick questions from me. First one is on the cash flow mitigation chart. And there are a bunch of buckets here. I just wanted to work out if any of these are structural reductions or whether these are one-off impacts that we could see a reverse impact in 2026? And secondly, just to look at the refining business, it's the second sequential loss for that business. Just trying to work out your outlook for the year for that business, do we expect any change in margins, any changes in utilization rates as we go through 2025? Thank you very much.

FRANCESCO GATTEI: On the cash initiatives, these are clearly structural because except for the few delays that we mentioned that are spread around between executing certain activities such as the rebranding of Enilive stations or EVs charging points or certain exploration activity, all the rest are related to factoring, working capital management, that means, substantially, something that is not absorbed during the year. And the cost reduction that, as we said, is also related to the improvement and also the scenario that we are facing that clearly has a lower cost of energy overall. So, I think these are clearly structural changes, structural improvements, including the portfolio variation that we mentioned in terms of higher valorization or higher stake. The refining I think that Pino, please.

GIUSEPPE RICCI: Yes. Thanks, Francesco. About the refining losses in the first quarter, this is due to the drop of the SERM, the margin. And the fact that we added during the quarter, the start of the maintenance in Taranto refinery and the FCC upset in Sannazzaro. What we expect is to increase the refinery utilization starting from the second quarter. And while we expect the margin will remain slightly better than today, but not as bullish as last year.

JON RIGBY: Thanks Pino. Henry, I think we've got you back, Henry?

HENRY TARR, BERENBERG: Thanks for taking the questions. So two questions. Just to come back to Enilive, is the contribution from the margins - from the straight bio side - positive at this point for you or are you essentially making all of the money on the marketing side rather than the actual manufacturing of the fuel? This is the first question and then the second is just on the cash flow. So lease interest payments, is Q1 a good run rate for the rest of the year at around €370 million-ish?

FRANCESCO GATTEI: I leave to Stefano for the first question and then I come back to the second one.

STEFANO BALLISTA: Yes. Thank you for the question. The contribution margin is positive, it's slightly positive, clearly related to the scenario. As I mentioned before, we definitely focus on value and not on volume. So we prefer to avoid production that is not going to be accretive in terms of value. I have to add actually that the integrated approach that characterizes Enilive gives us the chance to let's say, optimize the margin, thanks to our captive market. And this is going to give an extra contribution compared, let me say, to the pure market value.

FRANCESCO GATTEI: On the lease impact over the year, I would expect an increase during the year, clearly, also because there are some start-up of initiatives and projects that will attract additional leases.

So, this quarter is, let's say, a proxy, but it's still a bit light towards what is the running rate during the next quarters.

HENRY TARR, BERENBERG: Okay, thanks Francesco.

JON RIGBY: Thanks Henry. We're going to move to Matt Lofting at J.P. Morgan. Matt.

MATTHEW LOFTING, JP MORGAN: Thanks for taking the questions. First, I just wanted to come back to the capital allocation changes that Eni made this morning and particularly as to gross capex. It looks like you sort of effectively put through the lower part of the 5% to 10% reduction in full year gross capex versus what you outlined in Feb. If you aggregate everything up, can you just sort of share a sense of how much of that is activity related versus sort of finding underlying efficiency measures? And then secondly, following up on the comments earlier on refining. If you take refining and Versalis combined, I mean, clearly still a challenge in Q1. Is there any signs more recently of any improvement in margins to the degree that you're seeing some degree of lower feedstock costs, particularly perhaps through Eni's higher cost assets, which perhaps have most or more to benefit? Thanks.

FRANCESCO GATTEI: On the capex reduction, as I mentioned, it's mainly postponed activity. There is also a component that we mentioned about improvement, the reduction of an amount. If you wanted to have a split in the range of €500-600 million we are referring, we could say that €200-250 million is related to the postponement of the activity and the rest is a structural variation. You have also to consider that we have contingencies in our plan. So part of the activity that we present has already an implicit impact or possibility to manage fluctuation. So it is not a magical solution that we have, but sometimes it's just a matter to execute and to implement the contingency that we have inside. Then I leave it to Adriano and to Pino for the answer about the Downstream.

ADRIANO ALFANI: For the chemical side in terms of demand, we expect a slight improvement in line with the seasonality because normally, the second quarter is better than Q1. But to be honest, the scenario is still on the throw of the cycle in terms of demand. For sure, we expect an improvement in terms of cost of utilities because virgin naphtha is expected to reduce. Right now, in the second quarter, we expect also a lower TTF in terms of gas, and we know that we consume quite a lot of energy for the chemical sector. So we expect an improvement in terms of margin for the rest of the year on the chemical side. And I leave to Pino for the refinery.

GIUSEPPE RICCI: Yes. On the refining side, we expect a slight improvement in the margin in the mid-part of the year, mainly due to the driving season, but not so far. Overall, on the refining margin, we expect it to remain not so bullish because of the market that is quite stable.

JON RIGBY: Thanks Pino. Thank you, everybody, for respecting the two questions we're getting through this nice and quickly. So, we're going to move to Massimo Bonisoli at Equita. Massimo?

MASSIMO BONISOLI, EQUITA: Good afternoon. Thank you. And two clarification questions for me. The first on the €2 billion mitigating initiatives. Could you give us a broad time frame for the savings to be

realized and the eventual one-off cost associated with the savings? The second question on the outlook for GGP, you mentioned the upside of over €1 billion, can you shed some light on the market condition needed to improve the guidance and to renegotiate the contract versus current conditions? Thank you.

FRANCESCO GATTEI: First of all, the €2 billion is a free cash flow impact. It means that it's executed and completed within the year. So there are actions that are, let's say, captured immediately. So the postponement of activity in line with the execution of that activity, the possibility to have certain savings that we mentioned about the lower scenario, the portfolio improvement, etcetera, is something that is already generating the results. Clearly, it requires also the time for executing the project. You have to consider that the economic benefit is higher than the cash flow benefit because clearly, the economic cut or the improvement is something that has a higher value, but that clearly is captured within the 12 months that are ending within December.

CRISTIAN SIGNORETTO: So talking about the upside case for GGP, you pointed out two elements, which are actually the ones that we also are pursuing. One is the renegotiation and negotiations of our supply and sales contracts. This is, as you know, a normal feature of the business. We have a few re-discussions ongoing and I would say that probably the summer will be the period in which we might see some of those completing. And so I mean, depending on the outcome of those discussions, this could have some value unlocked. In terms of market condition, we are fairly, let's say, defended from the downside risk of flat price. We have some upside linked to clearly flat price increase instead. And the other elements that actually we like in terms of producing more value are spreads and volatility. So low geographical spreads, oil hub spreads, summer winter spreads. Those are part of the volatility that - if those happen - we will be able to capture.

JON RIGBY: Thanks Massimo. Thanks Cristian. We'll go with last two, so we're going to move first to Kim Fustier at HSBC. Kim?

KIM FUSTIER, HSBC: Hi, good afternoon and thanks for taking my questions. I had two, please. First on Venezuela, could you comment on any impact on your operations and your ability to lift crude cargoes and the revocation of export licenses and secondary tariffs on the country? And then lastly, just a quick housekeeping question. You've cashed about €3 billion in the first quarter from the sale of Enilive to KKR, but we can't actually see the disposal proceeds in the cash flow statement. So if you could point to where we should look at that would be helpful? Thank you.

FRANCESCO GATTEI: On Venezuela, Guido?

GUIDO BRUSCO: Venezuela, as you know, we have essentially gas production. We produce gas for the domestic market and to feed the gas-fired power plant for civil consumption. In the past, we were essentially paid in kind. So now we are engaging the U.S. authorities to find ways to be paid, but at the same time be compliant with the new regime imposed by the U.S. And we are confident that by the year-end, we'll find a way to honor our commitment towards the population and honor also compliance with the U.S. sanctions.

JON RIGBY: And Kim, I'll come back to you on the cash flow statement and the structure. The cash is in there, but it's not straightforward. So, I can do that for you, but we'll do that off-line, if that's okay. We can move now to the last question, which is Lydia Rainforth at Barclays. Lydia?

LYDIA RAINFORTH, BARCLAYS: Thank you, Jon. Good afternoon. Two final questions, if I could. The first one is more of a big-picture question, but given where the balance sheet is, and it's much stronger than it was even a year ago, but in previous downturns. It does give you a more privileged position into how you respond to volatility than in the past. So, I'm just actually asking you to reflect on that, does having that strength of the balance sheet impact, how you think about how you respond and are there opportunities that it opens up? And then secondly, I hear everything you say about the cash management, the mitigation measures that you're putting in place. And hopefully, when you start the buyback, it actually helps the relative share price performance even further. But given where the share price is, do you ever start thinking about we can lean into the balance sheet a bit more and buy back even more shares, just given where the share price actually is? Thanks.

FRANCESCO GATTEI: In terms clearly of leverage and the privilege of probably being the only company among peers that probably will reduce its leverage in the current quarter or coming quarters, thanks to the execution of a strategy that is already anticipating potential downturns and has a structural robustness. I think that will give us the opportunity to manage the volatility. The volatility in the current market is such that one day, you have a drop by 5%, then there is a rebound of 2-3%. There is another tweet, and then a lot of things are counter-tweeted. It's very difficult to understand where the fundamentals are and where is the psychology of the market. So, this strong balance sheet will give us the opportunity to select the best levers in order not to impact too much our strategy execution, to have different opportunities, clearly, to execute whatever we like and also to keep some additional levers out for the bad times when these bad times will come out. So, this is clearly something that for us is a unique time we had and it's a great chance to be effective, but clearly also to be vigilant on what is going on and not, let's say to rely on a positive expectation, a positive scenario. In terms of buyback, you know that we are approving the buyback with the policy that we have presented at the next AGM. Then we'll start to buy, and we will see at that time the conditions we will have, and we will execute, but I cannot anticipate what is the pace of execution because clearly, this is part of the rule of the game. There is a clear advantage or interest in buying back at a lower price. So that is a normal logic. I think that we have ended. I don't know if, Jon, do you have something else to conclude.

JON RIGBY: We have, Francesco. That's all the questions done. Thank you everybody, for attending the call. Thank you for your questions. Thank you for the speed and directness we got through that. I think it worked very well. So wishing you a happy end of the week. Good luck for next week and speak to you soon. Thanks a lot. Bye.